

### APPENDIX A - BPSAA ATTESTATION

#### Attestation Form

Prepared in accordance with section 15 of the Broader Public Sector Accountability Act, 2010 (BPSAA)

TO:

The Ottawa Hospital Board of Governors

FROM:

Dr. Jack Kitts

President & CEO The Ottawa Hospital

DATE:

May 25, 2016

RE:

April 1, 2015 - March 31, 2016

On behalf of The Ottawa Hospital ("the Hospital") I attest to:

- the completion and accuracy of reports required of the Hospital pursuant to section 6 of the BPSAA on the use of consultants;
- the Hospital's compliance with the prohibition in section 4 of the BPSAA on engaging lobbyist services using public funds;
- the Hospital's compliance with any applicable expense claims directives issued under section 10 of the BPSAA by the Management Board of Cabinet;
- [to be added once ss. 15(1)(c.1) of the Act is proclaimed into force] the Hospital's compliance with any
  applicable perquisite directives issued under section 11.1 of the BPSAA by the Management Board of
  Cabinet; and
- the Hospital's compliance with any applicable procurement directives issued under section 12 of the BPSAA by the Management Board of Cabinet,

during the Applicable Period.

In making this attestation, I have exercised care and diligence that would reasonably be expected of a President & CEO in these circumstances, including making due inquiries of Hospital staff that have knowledge of these matters.

I further certify that any material exceptions to this attestation are documented in the attached Schedule A.

Dated at Ottawa, Ontario this May 25, 2016.

Dr. Jack Kits President & CEO The Ottawa Hospital

I certify that this attestation has been approved by the Board of Governors of the Ottawa Hospital on June 1, 2016.

James G. McCracken Chair of the Board The Ottawa Hospital



### SCHEDULE A to BPSAA Attestation

- Exceptions to the completion and accuracy of reports required in section 6 of the BPSAA on the use
  of consultants; "no known exceptions"
- Exceptions to the Hospital's compliance with the prohibition in section 4 of the BPSAA on engaging lobbyist services using public funds; "no known exceptions"
- Exceptions to the Hospital's compliance with the expense claims directive issued under section 10 of the BPSAA by the Management Board of Cabinet; "no known exceptions"
- Exceptions to the Hospital's compliance with the perquisites directive issued under section 11 .1 of the BPSAA by the Management Board of Cabinet; "no known exceptions"
- Exceptions to the Hospital's compliance with the procurement directive issued under section 12 of the BPSAA by the Management Board of Cabinet "Management is reporting known material exceptions - please reference the attached schedule B for exceptions to Procurement directives".



# SCHEDULE B to BPSAA Attestation

# Reporting of material exceptions in accordance with section 12 of the BPSAA (Procurement Directives)

The Hospital has been guided by the five key principles outlined in the Procurement Directive (delivery of quality patient care and other services, value for money, accountability, transparency and process standardization), and has endeavored to comply fully with the requirements of the Procurement Directive. However, there may be circumstances in which the Hospital has failed to comply with the strict letter of the Procurement Directive. I do not consider those exceptions to be material exceptions to compliance and, where material exceptions to compliance have been identified, they are listed below:

### Capital Planning and Facilities Procurement Activities

In connection with the Hospital's Capital Planning and Facilities Department, there are a number of issues regarding compliance with the Procurement Directive which are referred to in the statement of claim issued by the Hospital under Superior Court of Justice Court File No. 16-67028. A copy of the statement of claim will be made available upon request to appropriate individuals. It is otherwise a matter of public record and available at the offices of the Registrar of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice in Ottawa. Full details of all these matters are not known, but continue to be investigated. In the result, it is possible that there may be other material exceptions to compliance with respect to procurement activities related to Capital Planning and Facilities for part of the reporting period which continue to be investigated. Further, management have implemented new processes and contract management protocols intended to address potential non-compliant issues in a timely manner.

#### Other Procurement Activities

#	Exception noted	Rationale			Action plan	
1	Selected contracts were extended beyond the terms of their existing contracts on a short-term basis and should have been to an open competitive procurement process	These exceptions occurred as a result of the following:  a) Contract harmonization (i.e. aligning expiry dates in order to achieve better value)  b) Extension of time for the Hospital to review alternative solutions  c) Extension of time to gather all the necessary clinical input and conduct an effective procurement process while ensuring continuity of service when required  These were approved business decisions but are considered exceptions and are reported as such.			The Hospital will continue to execute a sourcing strategy that maximizes available resources to complete the competitive procurement requirements.  New system planning tools have been implemented to facilitate improvements in proactive contract management capabilities.	
	Exceptions related to Directive #3 and #18 (Competitive					
	Procurements and Term of Agreement Modifications)	Vendor Name	Extension Price	Current Status (Comments as per Procurement)	Additional resources were hired to specificall address workload and enable a more proactive contracting strategy to further	
		Contract Harmonization (aligning ex	mitigate the risk of recurring extensions.			
		All Signs	15,000	RFQ in process		

		Extension of time to for the Hospital					
		Berlitz Language Centre	40,000		rently in year 1 of 2- ear extension		
		Studer Group 446		5,564 Extended Mar 31, 2017			
		Extension of time to gather all the necessary clinical input and conduct an effective procurement process while ensuring continuity of service when required					
		Alcon Canada  Aptus Conflict Solutions Bell Canada	983,000	Currently awaiting additional information			
			60,000	RF	RFP in process		
			95,000	RFP awarded RFP awarded RFP awarded			
		Coughlin and Associates	450,000				
		Hampton Inn	160,946				
1		Systematix	78,800 RFP not yet started				
2	between \$10,000 and \$100,000 may not have been subject to an invitational competitive procurement process  Exceptions related to Directive #3 (Competitive Procurements)					policies have been revised to explicitly address the need to have documented quotes and have implemented process changes to include verification that multiple quotes are documented prior to issuing a purchase order. Training and education for Hospital management has also been enhanced to provide clarification with respect to BPSPD requirements.	
3	Non-competitive procurements that should have been subject to	Vendor		alue in )15-16	Cumulative 3- year value	A review is underway to determine if future goods or services will be procured, in which	
	an open competitive process as	American Medical Systems Canada	Inc. 8	4,000	209,325	case an open competitive procurement	
	the goods or services procured	Microvention		14,702	660,056	process will be undertaken.	
	over a three-year period	Tigerdirect.ca.inc.	3	8,754	131,471		
	exceeded \$100,000	Yocan Medical Systems Inc.		37,424	432,022	Effective April 1, 2016 Hospital financial	
		Microdental Laboratory Ottawa		9,793	281,498	policies have been revised to explicitly state	
	Exceptions related to Directive #3 (Competitive Procurements)	Purchase order(s) were established and approved for the following vendors within this fiscal period. While individually each PO was < \$100K the overall cumulative value of goods or services obtained is indicative that the Hospital inadvertently may not have issued a competitive procurement.			that procurements must be assessed on an overall cumulative value with no sub-dividing of procurements. Training and education for Hospital management has also been		



			enhanced to provide clarification with respect to BPSPD requirements.
4	Procure ments with a value between \$10,000 and \$100,000 may not have had a signed written contract (or PO) in place prior to the receiving the goods or services.	The Hospital has in some instances received services and goods before a purchase order was issued and the situation was not a result of an immediate operational need that meets the acceptable exception criteria under BPSPD.	The Hospital has implemented a process to identify, assess, and monitor these types of exceptions in a timely manner and exceptions are dealt with on a case by case basis.
	Exceptions related to Directive #15 and #16 (Executing and Establishing the Contract) and Directive #2 (approval authority prior to procurement)		
5	Procurements were not approved in accordance with the established approval authority schedule  Exceptions related to Directive #2 (approval authority)	The Hospital has an approved signing authority policy which establishes the appropriate signing authority based on the value of the procurement. A review of transactions > \$100K indicated that not all transactions were initially not approved by the appropriate authority level, although ultimately all transactions were subsequently properly approved.	Effective April 1, 2016 Hospital financial policies have been revised to expand and clarify signing authority roles. Automated controls within the system have been reviewed and updated accordingly. Training and education for Hospital management has also been enhanced to provide clarification with respect to BPSPD requirements.

The Hospital continues to implement new processes and contract management protocols to improve the operating effectiveness of its internal control framework. Improvements are focused on strengthening procurement processes which include revisions to policies to clarify and reinforce mandated requirements of the BPSPD like segregation of duties, approval authority, evaluation processes, and contract extensions.